

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 9, 2001

body. Again, as I indicated on the original amendment, the proposals that we've had in front of us are, I think, prospective in nature. As I indicated to you earlier in the morning, one of the problems I had with the other amendment was that it didn't, simply from the standpoint of math if for no other reason, provide for a mechanism to address all of the bills, which the number is six, of course, as we all have come to understand, within the five major proposals. Now, I happen to believe personally that if, if, and I believe this is going to happen, if we get the census data, whichever form that it comes, and we'll talk about that a little later today or tomorrow, from the Bureau of the Census, this question this morning will in fact be moot. We will have enough time, both in the Redistricting Committee and on the floor of the Legislature, to properly address those issues in what we would normally call the normal role...rules that we follow. The only reason, I believe, that the Rules Committee sought to recommend and we later adopted the language that is in Rule 7 and the rest is to ensure for us one of several mechanisms that might be available to address a flow of time that escapes us perhaps for not even our own reasons. Whether Senator Chambers' amendment at this time is adopted or one of...or the other one had been adopted, I know it was almost a dead tie, really today doesn't make any difference, and I would hope that in the future it would not make any difference. I stand, not in opposition to Senator Chambers, but from the perspective of allowing ourselves the most latitude and the most flexibility. Bear in mind that Speaker's major proposals may not be addressed...be designated, pardon me, without the approval of a minimum of two-thirds of the members of the Executive Board, of which Senator Chambers, I believe, is in fact a member thereof. So, we have lots of safeguards in place, whether or not the rules designate five, whether they designate six, or whether they designate eleven, particularly when the designations of the more than five major proposals that are currently in our rules are, in fact, narrowly defined as being the redistricting...a redistricting bill or bills. If, for an example, the proposal that we have before us would be adopted, there exists the potential that late in the session the Speaker would have designated, with the approval of the Executive Board, four of his five major proposals and held one back, and then those of us here in the body, for whatever